



Leicester  
City Council

Wards: see individual reports

Planning & Development Control Committee

: 21<sup>st</sup> January 2026

---

## **SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT ON APPLICATIONS AND CONTRAVENTIONS:**

---

### **INDEX**

### **APPLICATION ORDER**

Page Main	Page Supp	Application Number	Address	Ward
11		20251491	7 Park Hill Drive	JA
21		20251747	14 Laithwaite Close	CW

<b>Recommendation: Conditional approval</b>	
<b>20251491</b>	<b>7 Park Hill Drive</b>
Proposal:	Change of use from dwellinghouse (Class C3) to residential care home (4 adults) (Class C2) (amended plans received 14 November 2025)
Applicant:	Crewton Care Ltd
App type:	Operational development - full application
Status:	
Expiry Date:	22 January 2026
JA1	WARD: Aylestone

**Page Number on Main Agenda: 11**

**Amended Description: No**

**Amended Recommendation: No**

**Amended/New Reasons/Conditions/Notes**

N/A

**Correction within the Officer Report**

On page 16 of the Officer Report, the statutory body for regulating Adult Care Homes is incorrectly named as Ofsted. The correct statutory body for regulating Adult Care homes is the Care Quality Commission.

**Further Representations**

Correspondence was received from Councillor Porter highlighting that his objection was not referenced in the report.

**Further Considerations**

Whilst the points of objection were not specifically attributed to Cllr Porter within the report, his concerns were carefully considered in my assessment of the application. His concerns were summarised in the representations section of my report and published on the website along with all other representations.

The objection has highlighted anti-social behaviour and incidents of crime from residents at 9 Grace Road and is concerned that the proposed use at 7 Park Hill Drive would exacerbate these issues.

I consider that the C2 use class as a residential care home is materially different to the HMO use at 9 Grace Road. The proposed care home use would provide care for residents, rather than support for occupants who live independently. The use should be considered as a residential as opposed to an institutional use. Similarly, the authorised use of 9 Grace Road is also a residential, as opposed to an institutional use.

The Planning regime is not intended to -and cannot- control the activities and behaviour of individuals and this is a matter for other agencies. I do not consider issues such as anti-social behaviour and crime would be adversely impacted by the proposed use to a level sufficient to withhold planning permission.

The **recommendation remains for approval.**

<b>Recommendation: Conditional approval</b>	
<b>20251747</b>	<b>14 Laithwaite Close</b>
Proposal:	Demolition of existing garage and construction of new garage at side of house (Class C3)
Applicant:	Ms Khodiara
App type:	Operational development - full application
Status:	
Expiry Date:	23 January 2026
CW	WARD: Beaumont Leys

**Page Number on Main Agenda: 21**

**Amended Description: No**

**Amended Recommendation: No**

**Amended/New Reasons/Conditions/Notes: No**

**Further Representations:**

A further representation has been received from an existing objector. The representation repeated their previous concern that the garage will be used as a commercial premises. This concern is addressed within the report on page 23 of the agenda reports pack.

**Further Considerations:** The correspondence does not raise new issues for consideration so the **recommendation remains for approval**.